



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10N069	7-Oct-11	21:12	M1a - Harbour Road Sports Centre	71	Leq(5-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Tonnochy Road and noise from training activities at swimming pool were contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Review the baseline noise level at this monitoring station.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction work for Contract no. HK/2009/02 was conducted during the measurement; It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10N071	11-Oct-11	8:16	M7e - IFC-Eastern End of Prodiom	77	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	75	<p>Possible reason: Excavation by hydraulic extractor, drilling by drill rig and breaking works for TTA stage 3 in front of IFC were conducted during monitoring</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Immediate repeated measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedance.</p> <p>The noise levels of repeat-measurement at the same location as below: 11 October 2011 08:15 77 dB (A) Investigation found that the repeat measurement was exceeded the limit level of construction noise criteria 75 dB (A).</p> <p>Immediate notification was given to Contractor of HY/2009/18, RSS and IEC when the exceedances were recorded.</p> <p>During the noise monitoring on 11 October 2011, excavation by hydraulic extractor, drilling by drill rig and breaking works for TTA stage 3 in front of IFC and no abnormal work were observed through out the period of noise monitoring. The extended acoustic lining on the top of noise barriers were not erected during operations. Contractor had suspended the works after received the notification on the same day to review the plant operations.</p> <p>Regarding to the noise exceedance recorded, the Contractor was advised to properly erect the acoustic lining on top of the noise barriers as far as practicable.</p> <p>Contractor explained that due to the Strong Monsoon Signal was in force during the monitoring, fully erection of the noise barriers were not practicable which follows their safety management plan.</p> <p>Additional monitoring was conducted on 13 October 2011 at 14:20. Excavation by hydraulic extractor operated with fully erected moveable noise barrier, drilling by drill rig and breaking works for TTA stage 3 in front of IFC were observed during noise monitoring. No further exceedance was recorded after the mitigation measures were implemented.</p> <p>The noise levels of the same location as below: 13 October 2011 14:20 73 dB (A)</p> <p>Exceedance caused by excavation, drilling and breaking works in which it was conducted near the monitoring station and noise barriers were not fully erected during excavation works while Strong Monsoon Signal was in force during the monitoring. The noise level recorded at 14:20 on 13 October 2011 reduced to within the limit level when the works were conducted with fully erected noise barriers. The contractor was reminded to review the design of noise barriers for the noisy plants. Moreover, they were also reminded to follow the recommended mitigation measures in EIA "Central - Wan Chai Bypass and Island Eastern Corridor Link" section 4.7. Mitigation of adverse environmental impacts for construction noise.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: The limit level exceedance was due to excavation, drilling and breaking works. Further inspection of the implementation of the mitigation measures that were recommended in EIA shall be conducted in scheduled noise monitoring date and site inspection.</p>



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10N070	25-Oct-11	21:55	M1a - Harbour Road Sports Centre	71	Leq(5-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Tonnochy Road and noise from training activities at swimming pool were contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Review the baseline noise level at this monitoring station.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: No construction work for Contract no. HK/2009/02 was conducted during the measurement; It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>